

## Distribution theory

(1)

We know from the previous section that there are functions in  $L^p$ -spaces which are differentiable in  $L^p$ -sense. That is, if  $f \in L^p$  then  $\|D_h f - g\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . However, there is a large class of functions which are neither differentiable nor their  $L^p$ -derivative exist. Though, there is a large sub-class of such functions whose derivative can be realized with the help of certain class of differentiable functions, known as "test functions".

For example, suppose  $f$  is diff and  $g$  is a compactly supported differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\text{Then } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f' g = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f g' - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f' g' = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f g',$$

because  $g$  is compactly supported. Therefore, this gives way to realize the derivative of  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , write

$$I_f(g) = \int_R f g,$$

then the derivative of  $I_f$  can be defined by  $I'_f(g) = - \int_R f g'$ .

In fact, functional  $I_f$  is all time diff

and the  $k^{\text{th}}$  derivative is given by

$$D^k f_j(g) = (D^k f)(g), \text{ where } D = \frac{d}{dx}.$$

In order to discuss "distributions" in details, we need to devise a complete topology on  $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since, the space  $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  cannot be made complete under Sup norm, a complete top. on  $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  will be derived from a family of semi-norms (defined on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Thus, the space  $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  becomes a totally convex top. space.

### Totally convex topology:

Let  $\{p_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of semi-norm on a top. vector space  $X$ . For finite set  $F \subset I$ , let

$$V_{F,\epsilon} = \bigcap_{i \in F} \{x \in X : p_i(x) < \epsilon\} = \bigcap_{i \in F} V_{i,\epsilon}.$$

Then each of  $V_{i,\epsilon}$  is convex and balanced.

Let  $B = \{V_{F,\epsilon} : \epsilon > 0, F \subset I, \#(F) < \infty\}$ .

Then the collection

$\mathcal{T} = \{O \subset X : \forall x \in O \exists U \in B \text{ s.t. } x + U \subset O\}$  is a topology on  $X$ .

obviously,  $T$  contains  $\varphi$  &  $X$  and closed under arbitrary union. Now, let 3

$$O = \bigcup_{i=1}^k O_i; O_i \in T.$$

If  $x \in O$ , then  $x \in O_i$  and  $\exists (y_i, \epsilon_i) \in B$  such that  $x + y_i \in O_i$ . Write

$$\epsilon = \min_i \epsilon_i \text{ and } F = \bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i. \text{ Then } \epsilon > 0,$$

and  $F$  is frontier, and hence

$$x + F_\epsilon \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k (x + F_i, \epsilon_i) \subset O.$$

The space  $(X, T)$  is known as totally convex top-space.

Ex. Show that a totally convex top. v.s.  $X$  is Hausdorff iff  $\{f_i : i \in I\}$  separates pts in  $X$ .

C.o.e  $x \in X, x \neq 0$ , implies  $\exists i \in I$  s.t.  $f_i(x) > 0$ .

Ex. Let  $X$  be a totally convex Hausdorff Space whose topo. is induced by  $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ . Define

$$d(x, y) = \sum \frac{2^{-n} f_n(x-y)}{1 + f_n(x-y)}.$$

Show that topo.  $d$  coincide with  $T$ .

Note that, in general setting,  $\psi_{f,\epsilon}$  plays the role of  $B_\epsilon(0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $B_\epsilon(0)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  forms a local base at '0'. Hence, (4)

$\exists \delta \in \mathcal{O}_{f,\epsilon} : \epsilon > 0, FCI, \#(F) < \infty \}$   
 & a local base at  $0 \in X$ .

Defn. (i) A seq<sup>n</sup>  $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset X$  is said to converge to  $x \in X$  if.  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathcal{B}, \exists N = N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $x - x_j \in U, \forall j > N$ .

(ii)  $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset X$  is called Cauchy seq<sup>n</sup> if  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathcal{B}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t  $x_k - x_l \in U, \forall k, l > N$ .

(iii)  $X$  is called sequentially complete if every Cauchy seq<sup>n</sup> in  $X$  has limit in  $X$ .

Lemma: A sequence  $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset X$  converges to  $x \in X$  iff  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_n(x_i - x) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof: let  $\mathcal{U}_{f,\epsilon} = \{x \in X : f_j(x) < \epsilon\}$ . Then  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t  $f_j(x_i - x) < \epsilon, \forall i > N$ . etc.

Theorem: Let  $\{f_i : i \in I\}$  be a separating family of semi-norms on a V.S.  $X$ , and write  $V_{p,n} = \{x \in X : f_i(x) < \frac{1}{n}\}$ . (5)

Then  $\mathcal{T} = \{V_{p,n} : p \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  forms a convex balanced local base for a topology  $T$  on  $X$  which makes  $X$  into a weakly convex space such that

- (i) each  $f_i$  is continuous, and
- (ii) a set  $E \subset X$  is bounded iff  $\forall i \in I$ ,  $f_i(E)$  is bounded.

Proof: Let  $x \in X$  and  $n \neq 0$ , then  $\exists f_i$  s.t.  $f_i(x) > 0$ . therefore for some  $\alpha, n f_i(x) > 1$ . implies  $x \notin V(f_i, n)$ , a nbhd of 0. Hence  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed. Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is translation-invariant, each  $\{x\} \subset X$  is closed in  $(X, T)$ .

Addition is continuous: let  $V$  be a nbhd of 0. Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m V(f_i, n_i) \subset V$  (by def'n of  $\mathcal{T}$ )

Let  $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^m V(f_i, 2n_i)$ . Then  $V + V \subset V$ .

Consider  $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow x_1 + x_2$  and  $V$  be an open set containing  $x_1 + x_2$ . Then  $V - (x_1 + x_2)$  is a nbhd of 0. Hence,  $\exists$  a nbhd  $V$  of 0 s.t.

$$V+V \subset U - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$$

(6)

$$\Rightarrow (V+\alpha_1) + (V+\alpha_2) \subset U$$

$\Rightarrow$  addition is continuous.

For scalar multiplication, let  $n \in X$  and  $d \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  
 take  $V$  as above. Then  $n \in sV$  for  
 some  $s > 0$ . Write  $t = \frac{s}{|d|s/2}$ , and  $y = n + tV$ ,  
 with  $|B-d| \leq \frac{1}{s}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta y - dx &= \beta(y-n) + (\beta-d)n \\ &\in IB/tV + IB/d|sV \\ &\subset V+V \subset U \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $|B/d|t < (|B| + \frac{1}{s})t = 1$ , and  $V$  is balanced.  
 Thus,  $\beta(y-n) + tV \subset dx + V$ , this implies  
 scalar multiplication is continuous.

(ii) Suppose  $E$  is a bounded subset of  $X$ .

Since each  $V(\rho_i, 1)$  is a mhd of  $0$ ,  $\exists$   
 $k_i > 0$  s.t.  $E \subset k_i V(\rho_i, 1) = V(\rho_i, k_i)$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_i(x) < k_i, \forall i, \forall x \in E.$$

Conversely suppose  $\rho_i(x) \leq n_i \forall x \in E$ ,  $\forall$   
 $i \in I$ , then for each mhd  $V$  of  $0$ ,

$$V \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^m V(\rho_i, n_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow E \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^m V(\rho_i, \frac{n_i}{m}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{min}_i V(\rho_i, n_i).$$

If  $m > m_{\min}$ ,  $\|f\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| dx \rightarrow \infty$ , then

(7)

$\text{ECON}^m V(\rho_i, \alpha_i) \subset \text{N.V.}$

Hence  $E^m$  is bounded on  $(X, \tau)$ .

Topology of the spaces  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $D_K$

We define a topo. on  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  which makes  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  a Frechet space with Heine-Borel property such that the space

$$D_K = \{\phi \in C^\infty(\Omega), \text{ supp } \phi \subset K\},$$

where  $K$  is a cpt set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is a closed subspace of  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Define a seqn of compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such

that  $K_i \subset K_{i+1}$  by

$$K_i = \bigcap_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{i}} \bigcap_{j=1}^i B_j,$$

where  $B_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |x| \leq i\}$ .

for  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ , define

$$p_r(f) = \sup \{|\phi|_0 f(x)| : x \in K_r, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

These  $\{p_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a separating family of semi-norms that make  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  a metrizable locally convex top. space (Exercise). (By the previous theorem for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $S_x(f) = f(x)$ , then each  $S_x$  is a continuous functional for the top. induced by  $\{p_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ .)

That is,  $P_n(f_i) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow f_i(n) \in P_n(f_i) \rightarrow 0$ .  
 It's easy to see that

$$D_K = \bigcap_{x \in K} \text{Ker } D_x.$$

Hence  $D_K$  is a closed subspace of  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ .  
 Notice that the collection

$$V_N = \{f \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \|P_n(f)\|_N < \frac{1}{N}\}, \quad N=1, 2, \dots$$

forms a convex balanced local base at 0 of  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ .  
 If  $\{f_j\}$  is a Cauchy seq<sup>n</sup> in  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ , then  
 for each  $V_N$ ,  $\exists h_N \in V_N$  st

$$f_i - f_j \in V_N, \quad \forall i, j > h_N.$$

$$\Rightarrow P_N(f_i - f_j) < \frac{1}{N}, \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$\|\partial^\alpha f_i(n) - \partial^\alpha f_j(n)\| < \frac{1}{N}, \quad \forall n \in K_N$$

That is,  $\partial^\alpha f_i \rightarrow \partial^\alpha f_j$  on each compact set  
 $K_N$  in  $\Omega$ . In particular,  $f_i(x) \rightarrow f_j(x)$ .  
 Thus,  $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset C^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{J}_0 = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ . This implies  
 that  $f_i \rightarrow f_j$  in the topo. of  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ . Hence,  
 $C^\infty(\Omega)$  is a Frechet space and same is true  
 for  $D_K$ .

Suppose  $E \subset C^\infty(\Omega)$  is closed and bounded.  
 Then by the previous theorem A,  $\exists 0 < M_N < \infty$   
 such that  $\|P_N(f)\| < M_N, \quad N=1, 2, \dots, \forall f \in E$ .

Thus,  $\|\partial^k f\| \leq M_N$  on  $K_N$ , i.e.  $\|f\|_{C^k} \leq M_N$ . Hence  
 $\{\partial^k f : f \in E\}$  is an equicontinuous  
family on  $K_{N-1}$ , if  $|B| \leq N-1$ . (9)

By MVT,  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \|\partial^k f\|_\infty |x-y|$  — (1)

Replacing  $f \rightarrow \partial^k f$  in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^k f(x) - \partial^k f(y)| &\leq \|\partial^k f\|_\infty \|x-y\| \\ &\leq M_N \|x-y\|. \end{aligned}$$

By Arzela-Ascoli theorem, every seq<sup>n</sup> in  
 $E$  has convergent subsequence. Hence  
 $E$  is compact in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  has  
Heine-Borel property.

Since  $d(f_0) = \sum 2^{-n} \frac{p_n(f)}{2 p_n(f)}$   $\in \mathbb{Z}$ , the top. on  
 $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is not normal.

Now, for each fixed  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_K$  is a Frechet  
space and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{K \subset \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_K$ .  
is known as space of test functions.  
For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , define

$$\|\varphi\|_N = \sup \{ |\partial^k \varphi(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N} \},$$

for  $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Note that restriction of these norms to  $\mathcal{D}_K$   
gives the same top. & do the semi-norms  
 $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . For this, let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ , compact.

Phase 3 No ex<sup>n</sup> st KCKV, + NT<sup>n</sup>  
and for these N > N<sub>0</sub>, (10)

$$R\varphi_{N^n} = P_N(\varphi), \text{ and } \varphi \in D_K.$$

Since  $\|P_N\|_N \leq \|P\|_{N^n}$  ... and

$$\|P_N(\varphi)\| \leq \|P_N\|_N \|(\varphi)\|$$

the top. given by either sequence  $\{P_N\}_{N=N_0}^\infty$   
or  $\{\|P_N\|\}_{N=N_0}^\infty$  will be same. Thus, two  
top. on  $D_K$  coincides. Therefore,

$V_N = \{\varphi \in D_K : \|P_N(\varphi)\| < \frac{1}{N}\}$  form a local  
base for  $D_K$ .

Notice that  $\{\|P_N\|\}_{N=N_0}^\infty$  can be used to  
define a locally convex metrizable top. on  
 $D(\Omega)$ , but the topology is not complete.  
for  $\varphi \in D(\Omega)$ , supp  $\varphi \subset \Omega$ ,  $\varphi > 0$  on  $\Omega$ )

$$\varphi_m(x) = \varphi(x-1) + \sum_{k=1}^m \varphi(x-k) + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \varphi(x-m)$$

is a Cauchy seqn in this top. but  
non  $\varphi_m$  is not compactly supported. This  
happens because  $\{P_N\}_{N=N_0}^\infty$  is not enough to  
prevent Cauchy seqn leaking towards the  
boundary of  $\Omega$ . So that we can add  
more semi-norm to the family  $\{P_N\}_{N=N_0}^\infty$   
that makes more functions on  $D(\Omega)$  to be

continuous. Now, we define another top.  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\mathcal{ACR}$  in which Cauchy sequences do converge, however  $\mathcal{T}$  is not metrizable.

(11)

(i) let  $\beta = \{W \in \mathcal{ACR} : W\text{-convex, balanced sets with } A \in W \in K, \forall i \in K\}$ ?

(ii)  $\mathcal{T} = \{\text{unions of the form } \bigcup W, \forall W \in \beta, \text{ and } W \in \beta\}$ .

Note that the top.  $\mathcal{T}$  is different than the top. generated by  $P_n^1$ 's, as the top.  $\mathcal{T}$  includes more semi-norms. For example let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(C)$  and  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset C$  be seq'n having no limit pt, then for any  $\epsilon_i > 0$  only  $p(\varphi) = \sup_{i=1}^\infty \varphi(x_i)/\epsilon_i < \infty$  ( $\because$  finitely many) for each  $\varphi$ , is a semi-norm on  $\mathcal{D}(C)$

and  $\varphi$  restricted to each  $D_K$  is continuous. In fact,  $W = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{ACR} : p(\varphi) \leq C\}$  is convex balanced and belongs to  $\beta$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -nbhd of  $0 \in \mathcal{D}(C)$ .

This forces every  $\mathcal{T}$ -bdd set (or l.b. f in  $\mathcal{ACR}$ ) to be concentrated on a common compact set  $K \subset C$ . This we see in the next theorem. That is, a seq'n of  $\mathcal{D}(C)$  converges to 0 if supp  $\varphi_i \subset K$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Theorem: (a)  $\mathcal{T}$  is topology on  $\mathcal{A}(N)$  and  $\beta$  (12)  
is a local base for  $\mathcal{T}$ .

(b)  $\mathcal{T}$  makes  $\mathcal{A}(N)$  into a locally convex top. space.

Proof: To prove (a), it is enough to prove that for  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $\varphi \in V_1 \cap V_2$ ,  $\exists W \in \beta$  such that  $\varphi + W \subset V_1 \cap V_2$ .

By definition  $\exists \varphi_i + w_i \in \beta$  such that  $\varphi \in \varphi_i + w_i \subset V_i$ ,  $i=1,2$ .

Choose  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in D_K$ .  
Since  $D_K \cap W_i$  is open in  $D_K$  and  $\varphi - \varphi_i \in D_K \cap W_i$ , it follows that  $\varphi - \varphi_i \in (1-\varepsilon_i)W_i$  for  $\varepsilon_i > 0$ .

(This is like, if  $x \in B_{\mathcal{A}(N)}(w)$ , then  $x \in (1-\varepsilon)B_{\mathcal{A}(N)}(w)$ )  
By the convexity of  $W_i$ , we get

$$\varphi - \varphi_i + \varepsilon_i w_i \subset (1-\varepsilon_i)W_i + \varepsilon_i W_i = W_i$$

So  $\varphi + \varepsilon_i w_i \subset \varphi_i + w_i \subset V_i$ ;  $i=1,2$ .

Hence  $\varphi + (\varepsilon_1 w_1) \cap (\varepsilon_2 w_2) \subset V_1 \cap V_2$ . This proves (a).

(b) Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(N)$  be distinct and write

$$W = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(N) : \|\varphi\|_0 < \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_0\}.$$

Then  $w \in \beta$ , and  $\phi_2 \notin \phi_1 + w$ . Since  $\phi_2$  is arbitrary, it implies that  $\sum \phi_i$  is closed set relative to  $T$ . (13)

Notice that for every pair of  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in D(\Omega)$ ,

$$(\psi_1 + \frac{1}{2}w) + (\psi_2 + \frac{1}{2}w) = (\psi_1 + \psi_2) + w,$$

hence addition is continuous in  $(D(\Omega), T)$ .

Pick dot  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi \in D(\Omega)$ . Then  $\phi_0 + \frac{1}{2}s w$  for some  $s > 0$ . Let  $|x - x_0| < \frac{1}{s}$  and  $t = \frac{s}{2(1+K)s}$ . Then for  $\phi \in \phi_0 + t w$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi - d\phi_0 &= \alpha(\phi - \phi_0) + (x - x_0)\phi \\ &\in Kt w + \frac{1}{2}w \\ &\in \frac{1}{2}w + \frac{1}{2}w = w, \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2}t < (1+K)\frac{1}{s}t = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus,

$$d(\phi_0 + tw) \subset d\phi_0 + tw \subset d\phi_0 + w.$$

Hence scalar multiplication is continuous.

Now onward by  $D(\Omega)$  we mean  $(D(\Omega), T)$ .

Theorem:  
 (a) A convex balanced subset  $V \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  is open iff  $V \in \beta$ .

(b) Topology  $T_K$  of  $\mathcal{A}_K(D(\Omega))$  coincides with the top. on  $\mathcal{A}_K$  that inherited from  $D(\Omega)$ .  
 (V.V.E)

(c) If  $E$  is a bounded subset of  $D(\Omega)$ , then  
 $E \subset D_K$  for some compact  $K \subset \Omega$  and  
 $\exists \delta \in M_N \subset S^1$

$$\|\varphi_i\|_N \leq M_N \quad \forall i \in E, N=0,1,2,\dots$$

(14)

(d)  $D(\Omega)$  has the Heine-Borel property.

(e)  $\{\varphi_i\}$  in a Cauchy seqn. in  $D(\Omega)$ , then  
 $\{\varphi_i\} \subset D_K$  for some  $K \subset \Omega$ ,  $K$  cpt.

(f)  $\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $D(\Omega)$ , then  $\exists G$  cpt set  $K \subset \Omega$   
st  $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subset K$ ,  $\forall i$  &  $\|\varphi_i\| \rightarrow 0$  unif, fd.

(g) In  $D(\Omega)$ , every Cauchy seqn is convergent.

Proof: (a) Suppose  $V \in \mathcal{E}$ . claim  $V \in \mathcal{B}$ . Consider  
 $\varphi \in D_K \cap V$ . By previous theorem,  $\exists$   
 $W \in \mathcal{B}$  st  $\varphi \in W \subset V$ .

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \in (D_K \cap W) \subset D_K \cap V$$

Since  $D_K \cap W$  is open in  $D_K$ , implies  
 $D_K \cap V$  is open in  $D_K$ , for each  $V \in \mathcal{E}$ . —(4)

Conversely, if  $V \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $V \in \mathcal{E}$ , since  
 $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{E}$ .

(b) Let  $V \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $D_K \cap V \subset \mathcal{E}_K$  (by (4))  
that is,  $\mathcal{P}(D_K \cap V) \subset \mathcal{E}_K$ ,  $\forall K \subset \Omega$ .

Conversely, suppose  $E \in \mathcal{E}_K$ , for some  $K \subset \Omega$ .

Claim.  $E = \bigcup_{K \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_K$ , for some  $V \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $\varphi \in E$ , then  $\exists N$  and  $\delta > 0$  s.t

$$\{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K : \|V - \varphi\|_N < \delta\} \subset E$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K : \|V\|_N < \delta\} \subset E - \varphi.$$

Let  $W_\varphi = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K : \|V\|_N < \delta\}$ . Then

$W_\varphi \cap \mathcal{D}_K \subset \mathcal{D}_K$  (an open ball in  $\mathcal{D}_K$ )

$\Rightarrow W_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ , and

$$\mathcal{D}_K \cap (\varphi + W_\varphi) = \varphi + W_\varphi \cap \mathcal{D}_K \subset \varphi + E - \varphi = E.$$

Let  $V = \bigcup_{\varphi \in E} (\varphi + W_\varphi)$ . Then

$$E = \bigcup_{\varphi \in E} ((\varphi + W_\varphi) \cap \mathcal{D}_K)$$

= Union of all balls around  $\varphi \in E$ .

$$= V \cap \mathcal{D}_K.$$

(C) let  $E$  be a bounded set in  $\mathcal{D}(R)$ . Suppose

$E \notin \mathcal{D}_K$ , for any  $K$ . Then  $\exists \varphi_m \in E$  and a seqn  $x_m \in R$  having no limit pt such that  $\varphi_m(x_m) \neq 0$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{let } W = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R) : |\varphi(x_m)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \varphi_m(x_m), \right. \\ \left. m = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

Since each  $K$  contains only finitely many  $x_m$ ,

$$W \cap \mathcal{D}_K = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K : |\varphi(x_m)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \varphi_m(x_m) \right\}$$

is open in  $\mathcal{D}_K$ . For this, let  $\varphi \in W \cap \mathcal{D}_K$ .

(15)

Then  $|\varphi(x_m)| \leq \frac{1}{m} |\varphi_m(x_m)|$ ;  $m = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .

$\rho(\varphi) = \sup_{1 \leq m \leq l} |\varphi(x_m)| \leq C_l$ ; where  $C_l = \max_{1 \leq m \leq l} \frac{1}{m} |\varphi_m(x_m)|$ . (16)

Since  $\rho$  is cont, it follows that  $W^{1,1}K$  is open in  $D_K$ . Thus,  $W^{1,1}B$ . Since  $\varphi_m \notin mW$  for any  $m$ , it follows that  $E$  is not bounded.

Thus every bounded set  $E \subset D(\Omega)$  must lies in some  $D_K$ . By (6),  $E$  is bounded in  $D_K$ . This implies

$$\sup \{ \| \varphi \|_N : \varphi \in E \} \leq M_N \text{ (as. } N=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

(d) It follows from (c), since  $D_K$  has the HBP. If  $E$  is a closed and bounded set in  $D(\Omega)$ , then  $E$  is closed and bounded in  $D_K$ , hence compact. Thus,  $E$  is compact in  $D(\Omega)$ .

(e) If  $\{\varphi_i\}$  is a b.b. in  $D(\Omega)$ , then it is bounded and hence  $\varphi_i \in D_K$ , for some  $K$ . By (d)  $\{\varphi_i\}$  is b.b. relative to  $D_K$ .

(f) It is just restatement of (e).

Finally (g) follows from (d), (e) and completeness of  $D_K$  ( $\approx D_K$  is a Frechet space).

Theorem: Let  $\lambda$  be a linear map from  $\mathcal{D}(N)$  to a weakly convex space  $Y$ . Then F.A.E.

(17)

(i)  $\lambda$  is continuous. (ii)  $\lambda$  is bounded.

(iii) if  $\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(N)$ , then  $\lambda\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $Y$ .

(iv) If  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{D}(N)$ , the restriction  $\lambda : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow Y$  is continuous.

Proof: (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is known.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose  $\lambda$  is bounded and  $\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(N)$ . Then  $\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in some  $D_K$ , and hence  $\lambda|_{D_K}$  is bounded. Hence,  $\lambda : D_K \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, that  $\lambda\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $Y$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Suppose  $\varphi_i \in D_K$  and  $\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $D_K$ . Then by (i) of the previous theorem,  $\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(N)$ . By (iii)  $\lambda\varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $Y$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $V$  be a convex balanced and of  $oey$ , and write  $V = K'(V)$ . Then  $V$  is a convex and balanced set in  $\mathcal{D}(N)$ .

By (e) of the previous theorem,  $V \in I$

if  $\lambda|_{D_K} \in K$  for each  $K \in I$ .

By (iv)  $D_K \cap V \in I$ , hence  $V \in I$ . So  $\lambda$  is continuous.

Def<sup>n</sup>.: A linear functional  $\lambda$  on  $D(\Omega)$  which is continuous in the topology of  $D(\Omega)$  is called distribution.

(18)

The space of all distributions is denoted by  $D'(\Omega)$ .

Theorem: Let  $\lambda$  be a linear functional on  $(D(\Omega), \mathcal{T})$ . Then F.A.E.

(i)  $\lambda \in D'(\Omega)$

(ii) for each compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C > 0$  s.t.

$$(*) \quad |\lambda \varphi| \leq C \| \varphi \|_N, \forall \varphi \in D_K.$$

This result is nothing but equivalence of (i) and (iv) in the previous theorem.

Note that if  $N$  in the (\*) is independent of choice of  $K$ , then the minimum of such  $N$ 's is called order of distribution  $\lambda$ .

If no such  $N$  exists, then we say  $\lambda$  has no order.

Remark:: Since each  $D_K$  is closed, it is obvious that  $D_K$  has no interior in  $D(\Omega)$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}$  a complete seqn of open sets in  $\Omega$

s.t.  $\lambda = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ ,  $K_i \subset K_{i+1}$ , we get

$$D(\Omega) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{K_i}.$$

Since L-L. (i.e.)  $D(\Omega)$  does converge in  $D(\Omega)$ , by Banach category theorem,  $(D(\Omega), \mathcal{T})$  cannot be metrizable.